| No.      | Question  | Response  |
|----------|---|---|
| 1101     |   | Response  |
| 1        | For the Cisco hardware do you want a 5 year option for support and subscription?  | 1 and 3 years support and subscription options                    |
|          |   |   |
| 2        | For the load balancers how many years support / services do you want quoted?  | 1 and 3 year support options                                      |
| 3        | Will Boston Public Health consider alternative equipment and software?  | No. Except Load Balancer  |
| 4        | How many Extra Points will be provided to an SDO-certified Prime Bidder?  | None  |
|          | Will Boston Public Health grant extra points to prime vendors subcontracting work to  |   |
|          | an SDO-approved business? If so, what is the percentage of business to obtain   | No. The extra points is only provided to the primary vendor       |
| 5        | points?   | who is a certified Mass SDO vendor.                               |
|          |   |   |
|          | Please clarify the type of proposal submission required. RFP states the following:  |   |
|          | SUBMITTAL PROCESS Proposals must be received 12/1/23 by 4pm to 1010   |   |
|          | Massachusetts ave. 2nd Floor Reception area. Please send copy via email after the bid                                       |   |
|          | opening 12/5/23 2pm. Send your electronic submittal to: JBeers@bphc.org ***Is a hard  |   |
| 6        | copy required or can we submit by email only?   | 12/2/23.  |
|          |   |   |
|          | The RFP states: "Load Balancers that will be configured to span both Boston and New   | We would like to have a HA (High-Availability) pair deployed in   |
|          | Jersey Locations". Does this mean an HA (High-Availability) pair will be deployed in  | Boston and an HA pair will be deployed in New Jersey and          |
|          | Boston and an HA pair will be deployed in New Jersey OR will these (4) NetScalers be  | would like to work in conjunction with existing infrastructure in |
|          | configured as Internal and External HA pairs in New Jersey and work in conjunction with                                     | Boston leveraging GSLB (Global Site Load Balancing) for           |
|          | existing infrastructure in Boston leveraging GSLB (Global Site Load Balancing) for  | services. However, due to budget constraints. We would like       |
|          | services?   | the vendor to provide a phased solution approach.                 |
|          | Will the NetScaler 9130s require redundant power supplies?  | No  |
| 9        | Would you like to see 3 year or 5 year quotes for the NetScalers?   | 3 years and 5 years options.                                      |
|          | Will the 20 required LB VIPs (Load Balanced Virtual Ips) be built in parallel to existing LB                                |   |
| 10       | VIPs or will cutovers be required for these services? Alternatively – are they net new LB                                   | No. There are all a series and section in a series of             |
| 10       | VIPs?   | No. These are all new and cut over is required.                   |
| 1.1      | Is GSLB the preferred method for VIPs being load balanced over 2 Internet Locations or                                      | Ashive / Ashive   |
| 11       | will they operate active\passive? Will all cabling be point to point (direct patching)? Or will there be overhead provision | Active/Active   |
| 12       | cabling in place per cab to interconnect equipment?   | Direct Patching   |
|          | Will a rack elevation and patching matrix be provided by BPHC?  | No No   |
| 13       | Palo Alto Firewalls   | 110   |
| 14       | Will the 2 data centers be Active/Active or Active/passive?   | Active/Active   |
|          | The die 2 and centers be notify factor of notify passive.   | rionicyrionic   |
| 15       | Will BGP be required on the PA firewalls or will the ISPs provide BGP routers.  | ISP will provide  |
|          | Internet circuits from same ISP or different?   | Same  |
|          |   |   |
| 17       | Will the NJ network share public IP space with Boston DC or have its own IP block?  | Have a separate IP Space.   |
| 18       | Are the 10 point-to-point handoffs L2L VPNs?  | Yes   |
| 19       | Are you using Panorama to mange the Palo Alto firewalls?  | No  |
| 20       | Will SSL decryption be required for the 3 SSL service profiles?   | No  |
|          | ISE   |   |
| 21       | What software version is the existing ISE cluster running.  | 2.4.0.357(we will be upgrading to 3.1)                            |
|          | How many nodes are in the existing ISE cluster.   | 1   |
| 23       | What are the personas of the existing ISE nodes.  | Adminstration, Monitoring, Policy Services, pxGrid                |
|          |   | Hardware but we are planning on upgrading the existing one to     |
| 24       | Are the existing nodes VM or hardware?  | VM before this project.   |
|          | a. if hardware what model   | SNS-3515-K9   |
|          | b. If VM what size  | n/a at this time  |
| 25       | Is ISE being used for TACACs and/or Radius Authentication?  | Yes, TACACs and Radius  |
|          | F5  | <u> </u>  |
| 26       | Which Load Balancing solution is currently used in Markley or combination thereof?  | None  |
|          | Does Markley already have a GSLB solution? If not recommend adding F5 DNS VE or   | l   |
| 27       | appliance in Markley DC for best resiliency.  | No.   |
|          | Will internet facing and internal applications be split across separate physical  |   |
|          | appliances (BOM shows 4x F5 BR license which leads me to believe that customer will   |   |
|          | either put one HA pair in Boston and one in NJ or 2 HA pairs in NJ where one will be in                                     | Ver 2 main and at each site                                       |
| 28       | the DMZ and the other internal)   | Yes. 2 pair one at each site.                                     |
|          | What hypervisor is BIGIQ VE going to run on? Does virtualization infrastructure already                                     | Mill be appliance ast VA  |
| <b>-</b> | exist?  | Will be appliance not VM  |
| 30       | Will BIGIQ be integrated with any existing F5s?   | No  |
|          | Do Load balanced applications require any customized packet handling via  |   |
| 24       | iRules/Content Switching? —>Rewrites, redirects, custom persistence tables, L7  | HnKnown   |
| 31       | content manipulation  | UnKnown   |
| 1        | Is GSLB going to be used for load balanced applications only or as the authoritative DNS solution across the organization?  | Applications Only   |
| 22       |   | I DOMINI GUNUIN LAUN  |